Review Article

Analysis of Optimization Technique of Same Program Written in Two Different Interfaces i.e. CUI and GUI Using Java and Calculate Their Differences

Arpana Chaturvedi¹, Deepti Khanna², Deepit Aggarwal³, Ayush Prasad⁴

¹ Associate Professor, Jagannath International Management School, New Delhi, India

²Associate Professor, JIMS, New Delhi, India
³Student, JIMS New Delhi, India
⁴Student, JIMS New Delhi, India

Received Date: 02 February 2021 Revised Date: 23 March 2021 Accepted Date: 25 March 2021

Abstract - Java is one of the most stable programming languages and form time to time Oracle Corporation frequently updates the language, it is also platform independent and supports common programming paradigms has got rich set of APIs, loads of frameworks, Libraries, IDEs and development tools ,simplify Development of real-time software, facilitates embedded computing and is robust and secure ,so a vast majority of applications use JAVA Programming Language .Here in our research work we have developed a program named inventory control management system. It's a CUI program which is created in core java. A GUI application is also created of the same program with the help of JFrame using event driven programming. in our research work we have used different optimization techniques such as CPU utilization, Heap Count and Threads, etc. to study performance on CUI and GUI, based upon the result we tried to find out which interface is better in terms of memory utilization and CPU utilization.

Keywords - Java program, Optimization techniques, GUI, CUI, JFrame.

I. INTRODUCTION

Java is a simple object-oriented, robust, secure, architecture-neutral, portable, high performance, interpreted, threaded, platform independent programming language. it is used to develop applications for the various fields such as banking , retail , information technology , android etc. here for our research paper we have developed a program which is an inventory management system of a company to watch the products requirements of the company and which item needs to be re-ordered accordingly from time to time .this will help the companies in managing the warehouses and keeping a proper track of the items available that can be further used in the calculations of the gross production cost evaluated we have developed the program in Command Line Interface as well as in Graphical User Interface and using both the interfaces we studied the utilization and performance of the program and with the help of the results obtained we have further tried to optimize the program for better performance for the industrial purpose. we have prepared graph to study the performance and memory utilization by both the interfaces and also conducted statistical test based upon the data collected and further studied the test results for the better optimization of the program.

II. OBJECTIVE

A. To create same program in Core Java and JFrame using event driven programming, the program is created in both CUI and GUI

To objective of the research paper is to create a program in Core Java and JFrame using event driven programming, the program is created in both CUI and GUI.

B. To find the optimization techniques which include Memory optimization, CPU performance, Heap memory utilization and Disk utilization

To study the optimization techniques which include Memory optimization, CPU performance, Heap memory utilization and Disk utilization to study the performance of the program in CUI and GUI interface for the purpose of finding out which interface is better suitable for the industrial use.

C. To find out which interface is better suitable for the performance of the program

Based upon the study conducted, compare the results of the optimization techniques and study its performance and coming to a conclusion.

III. TOOLS USED

We used following software and hardware for our research: -

Table 1. Operating System Details			
Operating System:-			
Edition	Windows 10 Single Language	Home	
Version	1909		

Table 2. Hardware Requirement Details

Hardware Requirements: -			
Processor	Intel [®] Core TM i5-		
	8250U CPU @		
	1.60GHz		
Installed RAM	8.00 GB (7.87 GB		
	usable)		
Hard disk	1TB		
System type	64-bit OS *64-based		
	processor		

Table 3. Software Requirement Details

Software Requirements: -		
IDE	Eclipse	
Web serer	Xampp	
Connector	mysql-connector-java- 5.0.8-bin	
Analysis Tool	Your Kit-JAVA Profiler	

Table 4. Additional Requirement Details

Additional Software: -			
Additional	IDE	Window Builder	
Software			
Connectors: -		rs2xml	
		jgoodies-forms-1.8.0-	
		sources	
		javax.mail-1.6.2	

IV. INTRODUCTION TO THE PROGRAM TO PERFORM RESEARCH

For our research paper we have a written a program in core java, core java is a collection of libraries rather than just the simple programming language. We developed a code for inventory management system which is made for the purpose of keeping the track of the available stock in the warehouses; it is a multiple user system which will help in saving the time of the organization while keeping a record. The program has got a login system which is of multiple user login and is robust and secure, the program contains mainly three functions i.e. item , supplier and reorder each function have sub functions such as insert, update, display and delete .The program is easy to use and is secure as well.



Fig. 1 Main Frame of the GUI Application

R	- n ×
	LOGIN
INVENTORY CONTROL	a ha a la la constante de
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	
PASSWOR	D: unseturate
100	IN RESET



*		11	х
r			
	E-mail engineer		
	Password		
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	Re-enter Password		
	Setd OTP		
	0TP 104		
	Lagin Page Croate Univ		
,			

Fig. 3 New User Login Creation Frame of the GUI Application

a				D ×
INVENTORY CONTROL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM				
		ITEM		
		SUPPLIER		
SELECT ON	E OPTION			
		REORDER		

Fig. 4 First Frame After Login Frame of the GUI Application



Fig. 5 Login and the main menu after Login of the CUI Program



Fig. 6 Login and the main menu after Login of the CUI Program

V. CHARACTER USER INTERFACE

Character user interface or command –line user interface (CUI) is a method in which the user interacts with computer programs. The interface allows the users to issue commands in one or more lines to a program. It is difficult in navigation has got high precision, computing speed is high, is difficult to operate and require expertise, requires low memory, is less flexible and the appearance cannot be changed. One of the best examples of the CUI interface is MS-DOS and Windows command Prompt.

The CUI interface of the inventory management system is easy to understand and use.



Fig. 7 The Memory, CPU, Threads Utilization and Displaying the Garbage Collection in CUI



Fig. 8 Main Menu Code of the CUI Program



Fig. 9 New User Code of CUI Program

Dr. Arpana Chaturvedi et al. / IJCTT, 69(3), 46-51, 2021



Fig. 10 Main Menu Code of the CUI Program



Fig. 11 Main Menu Code of the CUI Program

V. GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE

Graphical user interface is a method which allows the users to interact with electronic devices through graphical icons and primary notation. It is easy to use has got high low precision, computing speed is low, is easy to operate, requires high memory is more flexible and the appearance can be customized.

The GUI interface of the program is more user friendly as compared to the CUI interface as it has got labels, text field and buttons which helps in better understanding of the program.



Fig. 12 The Memory, CPU, Threads Utilization and Displaying the Garbage Collection in GUI



Fig. 13 New User Code of CUI Program



Fig. 14 Main Menu Code of the CUI Program

49



Fig. 15 Main Menu Code of the CUI Program



Fig. 16 Main Menu Code of the CUI Program

VI. RESEARCH STUDY WITH OUTPUTS

To find out the more appropriate result of the performance of both the CUI and GUI interfaces we conducted the t-test based upon the data which has been collected during the performance analysis of the program with the help of different software.

t-test: -is a statistical hypothesis test which follows a distribution in null hypothesis.

Formula

Two - sampled test
$$t = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{sx_1x_2\sqrt{1/n_1 + 1}/n_2}$$

 $df = n_1 + n_2 - 2$

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances			
	20	43	
Mean	13.75	18	
Variance	122.9167	238	
Observations	4	4	
Pooled Variance	180.4583		
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0		
df	6		
t Stat	-0.44742		
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.335136		
t Critical one-tail	1.94318		
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.670271		
t Critical two-tail	2.446912		

Table 5. Statistical Result of t-test

Based upon the test we got the p value > 0.5 which shows that the CUI interface is 67% better performing and faster and more reliable than the GUI based interface.



Fig. 17 New User Code of CUI Program(extended)

Graphical User Interface (GUI)



Fig. 18 Performance Utilization of GUI



Fig. 19 CPU Utilization of GUI





Fig. 20 CPU Utilization of GUI



Fig. 21 CPU Utilization of GUI

VII. CONCLUSION

Based upon the entire research work and checking the performance of the program using the Your Kit Java profiler in which we compared the CPU usage of CUI and GUI in which we found out that the program was better performing in the CUI interface, using the same software we also checked the heap memory of the program in which we found out that CUI was using much less heap memory than the GUI interface of the program on further checking the different parameters of the program such as the garbage collection we found out that CUI had the lowest garbage collection over the GUI interface, the CUI interface of the program used much less no of threads than the GUI interface of the program and also the run time of both the CUI and GUI interface when compared we found out that CUI just took 4minutes.25seccond as compared to GUI which took 7minutes.25seconds for the entire program to run .Looking at the results we got from comparing both the CUI and GUI interface we can say that although the GUI interface of the also be changed if needed but still the CUI interface of the program is faster and better performing and will be much more reliable to use .

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We have taken the support of various research work done by researchers and published papers. We would like to thank all of them as their research work has provided the insight and expertise to us and their work has helped us to complete my research. I would like to thank to my children and family members who cooperated with me during this pandemic and given us well sufficient time to perform research. We would like to thank various REST videos, as the guidance given by them helped me during the course of writing this research paper. I am immensely grateful to the reviewers for their comments on an earlier version of the manuscript, although any errors are my own and should not tarnish the reputations of these esteemed

REFERENCE

- [1] Performance Monitoring Of JAVA Application
- [2] Analyzing the Complexity of Java Programs using Object Oriented Software Metrics.
- [3] Dynamic analysis of Java program concepts for visualization and profiling
- [4] Apache Software Foundation, Log4J
- [5] S. Browne, J. Dongarra, N. Garner, G. Ho, P. Mucci, A Portable Programming Interface for Performance Evaluation on Modern Processors, The International Journal of High-Performance Computing Applications 14 (3) (2000)189-204.
- [6] M. Dahm, The Byte Code Engineering Library, 2001.
- [7] E. Gamma, R. Helm, R. Johnson, J. Vlissides, Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software, Addison-Wesley, (1995).
- [8] J. Gosling, B. Joy, G. Steele, The Java Language Specification, Addison-Wesley, (1996).
- [9] C.A.R. Hoare, Monitors: An Operating System Structuring Concept, Comm. ACM 17(10) (1974) 549-557
- [10] M. Henning, S. Vinoski, Advanced CORBA Programming with C++, ISBN 0201379279, Addison-Wesley, (1999).
- [11] IBM Research, Jinsight project, (2001).
- [12] Intel, VTune Performance Analyzer,(2001).
- [13] Intel Corporation, Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual Volume 3: System Programming Guide, (1997).
- [14] IONA Technologies, Object Oriented Concepts Inc., ORBacus 4 for Java, (2000).
- [15] R, Jain, The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis: Techniques for Experimental Design, Measurement, Simulation, and Modeling, John Wiley & Sons, (1991).